%JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
SARA C. WILLIAMS			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	dress)	D COMPENSATION CHARGE LIST THE LOCATION OF THE		
Craig Thor Kimmel, E	squire		D CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE INVOLVED.		
Kimmel & Silverman,		August of the second			
30 E. Butler Pike		Attorneys (If Known)	•		
Ambler, PA 19002		_			
(215) 540-8888 H. BASIS OF JURISE	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES(Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintift		
		(For Diversity Cases Only)	and One Box for Defendant)		
71.4 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		FF DEF PTF DEF PTF DEF O 1 O 1 Incorporated or Principal Place O 4 O 4		
			of Business In This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2		
13e içildəni	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business in Another State		
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 O 3 Foreign Nation O 6 O 6		
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)				
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 400 State Reapportingment ☐ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 410 Antitrust		
☐ 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Med. Malpracti Liability © 365 Personal Injury	ce 🔲 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Liftel & Product Liabilit		PROPERTY RIGHTS 460 Deportation		
& finforcement of Judgment (7) 184 Medicare Act	Slander © 308 Asbestos Person © 330 Federal Employets' Injury Product	ial	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 470 Racketeer influenced and ☐ 830 Patent Corrupt Organizations		
73-152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark 第 480 Consumer Credit		
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	RTY Safety/Health	O 490 Cable/Sat TV O 810 Selective Service		
(2) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 🗇 371 Truth in Lendin	g LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY 350 Securities/Commodities		
of Veteraa's Benefits . [7] 160 Stockholders' Saits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 385 Motor Vehicle Property Damag	710 Pair Labor Standards te Act	☐ 864 HIA (1395f) Exchange ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 875 Customer Challenge		
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability		EF 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 12 USC 3410		
196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY 7 240 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO 441 Voting 510 Motions to Varce		FEDERAL TAX SUITS O 892 Economic Stabilization Act O 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintit) O 893 Environmental Matters		
🗇 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant) 🔲 894 Energy Allocation Act		
(*1 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment (*) (*1 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	Security Act	O 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 O 895 Freedom of Information Act		
[] 245 Fort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	O 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
(7) 290 All Other Real Property	U 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - U 540 Mandamus & O Employment U 550 Civil Rights	O 462 Naturalization Application O 463 Habeas Corpus -	Under Equal Access to Justice		
	U 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - U \$55 Prison Condition	n Alien Detainee	☐ 950 Constitutionality of		
	440 Other Civil Rights	 465 Other Immigration Actions 	State Statues		
V. ODICIN:			Name La Din in		
	an "X" in One 8ox Only) emoved from 3 Remanded from		Appeal to District Appeal to District Indige from 7 Judge from 7 Appeal to District 7 Appeal to District		
	tale Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth (speci	er district lititation Magistrate		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 15 U.S.C. Section 1692	are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A	\ct			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON DEMANDS	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No		
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	1	DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:					
10-29-1	0				
DATE	SIGNATURE O	OF AZTORNEY OF RECORD			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of						
Address of Plaintiff: 238 French Street, Water	teun, CT 06795						
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudentral Road, Howthern DA 19044							
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	III.						
(Use Reverse Side For A	aamonai Space;						
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation ar	nd any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?						
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Ye2□ NºAT						
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No No						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:						
74480							
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:							
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	$\mathbf{a}I$						
2 Paradi indude -	Yes No.						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior si action in this court?	uit pending or within one year previously terminated						
	Ycs□ No)XI						
3. Does this ease involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier re-							
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No 💆						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	s case filed by the same individual?						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Yes□ No X						
CIVIL: (Place V in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:						
1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	 □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 						
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury						
3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. D Assault, Defamation						
4. Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Injury						
5. D Patent	5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury						
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please						
	specify)						
7. 🗆 Civil Rights	7. D Products Liability						
8. Habeas Corpus	8. © Products Liability — Asbestos						
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. D All other Diversity Cases						
10 C Social Security Daview Caree	(Please specify)						
11. A All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C. § 1692 (Please specify)	,,						
ARBITRATION CERTI	IFICATION						
1. Taig They Simmel Counsel of record do hereby certifi							
Pursuari to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and t							
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;							
Relief other than monetary damages is spaght.							
DATE: 10-29-10 Craig Morkimmel	57100						
Attorney-at-Law	Attomey I.D.#						
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or v	within one year previously terminated action in this court						
except as noted above.							
DATE: 10-29-10 (rate Truckimme)	57/00						
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#						
CIV. 609 (6/08)							

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Bara C. William	20	:	CIVIL ACTION				
٧.		:					
CO Financial Sys	items, Inc.	:	NO.				
In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.							
SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:							
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28	U.S.C. § 2241 t	hrough § 2255.	()			
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(c) Arbitration - Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.							
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.							
(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)							
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not	fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	(X)			
10-29-10 Cruty The Kimmel Sara C. Willrams Attorney-at-law Attorney for Attorney Frank Scratter Sara C. Willrams Attorney for Attorney Frank Ocredit law.							
Telephone	FAX Numl	er	E-Mail Address				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

SARA C. WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff

v.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendant

Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

COMPLAINT

SARA C. WILLIAMS ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28

- U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.
- 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the State of Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).
- 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Watertown, Connecticut, 06795.
- 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.
- 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair

or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.

- 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 17. Beginning on or around December 2009 and continuing until present, Defendant, its agents, employees, and servants, engaged in debt collection activities seeking payment from Plaintiff.
- 18. Defendant and its employee identified as "Mathew Schmit", harassed Plaintiff by making continuous calls to her cellular telephone number and work telephone number.
- 19. Plaintiff received phone calls and voice messages from Defendant on a number of occasions including but not limited to, May 10, 2010 at 2:45p.m. and 8:22p.m. and on May 11, 2010 at 3:21p.m. and 5:53p.m. from the following phone number (800) 218-1175. The undersigned has confirmed that the number belongs to Defendant.
- 20. Defendant placed repeated calls to Plaintiff's telephone almost every day, causing Plaintiff to receive, at times, more than two (2) collection calls a day and at times, more than four (4) collection calls in a day.

- 21. Defendant placed repeated calls to Plaintiff's telephone almost every day, causing Plaintiff to receive, at times, more than ten (10) collection calls a week and at times, more than twenty (20) collection calls a month.
 - 22. Defendant has called Plaintiff's parents house looking for her.
- 23. As a result of Defendant's constant and harassing telephone calls, Plaintiff has stopped answering her cellular telephone.
- 24. As a result of constant and harassing telephone calls to her place of employment by Defendant, Plaintiff has been forced to redirect calls to her voicemail system.
- 25. On May 13, 2010, Plaintiff's Attorney sent a "Letter of Representation" to Defendant, indicating that Plaintiff was represented by counsel and instructing NCO to cease and desist all contact. A true and correct copy of the May 13, 2010 Letter of Representation is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".
- 26. Defendant advised Plaintiff's counsel that the representation and cease and desist instruction would be heeded, however on or around October 1, 2010, Defendant resumed its campaign of telephone harassment.
- 27. Plaintiff's counsel contacted Defendant to inquire about the contact and prior discussions about the case, but received no substantive response.
- 28. At no time from the commencement of collection activities in December, 2009, has Plaintiff received notice of her rights and privileges under

the FDCPA, specifically the right to dispute and/or request verification of the debt.

- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant sought to collect a debt from Plaintiff knowing the alleged debt to be without validity.
- 30. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.
- 31. Defendant's resumption of its telephone harassment campaign in October 2010, was in clear disregard for the provisions of the FDCPA forbidding post-notice contact.
- 32. Neither prior to nor after resumption of collection activity, did

 Defendant ever seek from Plaintiff's counsel any information upon which it could
 reasonably believe counsel was being non-responsive.
- 33. Despite Plaintiff's counsel's inquiries prior to filing suit, Defendant never notified counsel that it intended to resume collection activities in any form.
- 34. Plaintiff's counsel never authorized Defendant to contact Plaintiff directly, nor was he asked to do so.

CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

35. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector

to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

- 36. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 37. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual

purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 38. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated of the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated § 1692b(6) and c(2) of the FDCPA by continuing to communicate with a consumer after a letter of representation and cease and desist from Plaintiff's attorney, had been acknowledged as having been received in May, 2010;
 - c. Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
 - d. Defendant violated § 1692d(5) of the FDCPA, when it caused the Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff;
 - e. Defendant violated § 1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and

unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt;

- f. Defendant violated § 1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt;
- g. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failed to comply with the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SARA C. WILLIAMS, respectfully pray for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, SARA C. WILLIAMS, demands a

jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: 10-29-10

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C..

By:

Craig Thockimmel Attorney ID # 57100 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C. 30 E. Butler Pike

Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (215) 540-8817

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL**
ROBERT M. SILVERMAN**

Membee, PA Bar Member, NJ Bar Member, DF, Bar Member, MA Bar Member, MB Bar Member, OH Bar Member, MI Bar Member, MI Bar Member, MI Bar Member, CI Bar

"Member, 4N Bar



JACQUELINE C. HERRITT'
BOBERT A. RAPKIN'
AMY I. BENNECOFF'
TARA L. PATTERSON'
ANGELA M. TROCCOLI
KATE G. SHUMAKER'
CHRISTINA GILL ROSEMAN'
RICHARD A. SCHOLER'
RICHARD A. SCHOLER'

. . .

www.CREDITLAW.com (800)-NOT-FAIR

CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS 30 E. Butler Pike, Ambler, PA 19002 Toll Free (800)-668-3247 Fax (800) 863-1689

DELAWARE, 501 Silverside Road, Suite 118, Wilmington, DE 19809, (302) 791-9373

NEW ENGLAND, 60 Hartford Pike, P.O. Box 325, Dayville, CT 06241, (860) 866-4380

NEW JERSEY, Executive Quarters, 1930 E. Marlton Pike, Suite Q29, Cherry Hill, NJ 08003, (856) 429-8334

NEW YORK, 1001 Avenue of the Americas, 12th Floor, New York, NY 10018, (212) 719-7543

WESTERN DISTRICT OF NY, 1267 Delaware Avenue, Suite 440, Buffalo, NY 14209, (716) 332-6112

W. PENNSYLVANIA, 210 Grant Street, Suite 202, Pittsburgh, PA 15219, (412) 566-1001

Please reply to CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

May 13, 2010

VIA E-mail
NCO Financial Systems, Inc.
c/o Shannon Geier, Esq.
Sessions, Fishman, Nathan & Israel
55 West Monroe Street, Suite 1120
Chicago, IL 60603-5130

RE: Williams, Sara v. NCO Financial, Inc. 238 French St., Watertown, CT 06795 SS#, last four: 9885

Dear Ms. Geier:

Please be advised that I represent the above-named individual regarding claims against your company pursuant to the Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C 1692, et seq. and State law. Having been formally notified of representation, I respectfully demand you not contact our client for any reason. Instead, please direct all future contact and correspondence to my attention only. I reserve the right to seek injunctive relief should you fail to honor these directives.

THIS LETTER ALSO SERVES AS NOTICE TO IMMEDIATELY CEASE AND DESIST CONTACTING OUR CLIENT with respect to the collection or attempted collection of any debt, pursuant to the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692b(6) and 1692c(b), et seq. There to be absolutely no calls, letters, or other communications whatsoever by any debt collector. Do not contact our client at home, at work, by cellular phone, by mail or otherwise.



Based upon the information received to date, an FDCPA claim is being prepared and will be filed against your company without further advance notice. You may if desired, contact me to discuss the matter but in any event, do not attempt to communicate with our client directly.

Below are responses to the standard NCO inquiries, which you have requested in first communication.

Responses to Information Request

- 1. Social Security Number: A: Last four- 9885
- 2. A description of the alleged wrongdoing, including dates of any conversations, messages left, etc.: A: Receives more than 10 calls per week from NCO collectors. Our client was repeatedly contacted at work even after she asked them not to call her there. Client was threaten with wage garnishment and spoken to harshly and aggressively by NCO collectors.
- 3. The creditor's name and creditor's account number: A: Chase, account number 4226970310013929.
- 4. The account name, if different, and NCO account number; A: N/A.
- 5. The name of any third parties contacted and their phone numbers; A: N/A
- 6. All client's phone numbers called and any third party numbers called, identified by whose number it is and location of the number (e.g. home, work or cell, etc.); A: 203-825-6250 work number and 860-318-1876 cell number.
- 7. A copy of any and all letters or and/or recordings. A: N/A at this time.

Sincerely,

/s/ Craig Thor Kimmel

Craig Thor Kimmel Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.